February 21, 2018 Meeting Agenda

Web:  https://UCOP.zoom.us/j/236485718
Phone:  +1 408 638 0968 (US Toll)
Meeting ID:  236 485 718

Roll Call:

☐ UC Berkeley – Maria Gould
☐ UC Berkeley – Susan Koskinen
☐ UC Berkeley – Rachael Samberg
☐ UC Davis – Amy Studer
☐ UC Davis - Mike Wolfe, REGRETS
☐ UC Irvine – Mitchell Brown
☐ UC Irvine – Shu Liu
☐ UC Los Angeles – Jennifer Chan
☐ UC Los Angeles – Marty Brennan (co-Chair)
☐ UC Merced – Donald Barclay
☐ UC Merced – Jerrold Shiroma (co-Chair)
☐ UC Riverside – Swati Bhattacharyya
☐ UC Riverside – Brianna Marshall
☐ UC San Diego – Mary Linn Bergstrom REGRETS
☐ UC San Diego - Allegra Swift REGRETS
☐ UC San Francisco – Anneliese Taylor
☐ UC Santa Cruz – Christy Hightower
☐ UC Santa Barbara – Sherri Barnes, REGRETS
☐ UC Santa Barbara – Kyra Folk-Farber, REGRETS
☐ CDL – Katie Fortney
☐ CDL – Monica Westin
☐ CDL – Mat Willmott

Notetaker: Irvine

2. Announcements/administrivia
None.

3. Deep-Dive topic

Lisa Schiff from CDL will join us at 1:30 for a discussion on DOIs

Per the original suggestion by Christy Hightower:

Discussion of what formats other than journal articles really need Cross Ref DOIs in eScholarship. Are there criteria for what needs a DOI and what doesn’t?

- Would technical reports and conference papers be high on a priority list for DOIs? Would they have to be part of a series to qualify, or would “one offs” also get them?
- Not worth it for undergrad senior papers and research posters, right?
- What about masters and PhD theses?
- CrossRef DOIs are now available for preprints (background here and here), but is it worth the cost for preprints? They will get a DOI when/if they are officially published elsewhere. Do we even have many preprints in eScholarship? Most other large preprint servers do provide CrossRef DOIs (arXiv does not, but they also have their own identifier number and they existed before CrossRef. However, BioArxiv (From Cold Spring Harbor), chemRxiv (American Chemical Society) and all of the many OSF preprint servers all have CrossRef DOIs)
- One reason I often think of for wanting a CrossRef DOI is so that the downstream services that use them will work with the item in question. For example, Kudos only works with items with a CrossRef DOI (and not DOIs issued by other agencies like DataCite). Are there other services you know of that require a CR DOI?
- here is the list of Crossref defined content types:
Crossref collects metadata for many items relevant to scholarly communication. Our defined content types are:

- **Journals**: includes records for journal articles, as well as supplemental materials
- **Books**: book-level and/or chapter-level records, books can be deposited as a monograph, series, or set.
- **Conference Proceedings**: information about a single conference and records for each conference paper/proceeding
- **Datasets**
- **Dissertations**
- **Peer Reviews**
- **Posted content**: consists of preprints, eprints, working papers, reports, dissertations, and many other types of content that has been posted but not formally published.
- **Reports / working papers**
- **Standards**
- **Components**: typically assigned to parts of a whole, most commonly including figures, tables, and supplemental materials for a journal article

Notes: What else beyond journal articles should get DOIs, what “flavor” of DOIs to assign, and what types of publications to include? The mode right now is to have a preference to add DOIs to all objects going forward because they are becoming ubiquitous and other services are building onto that technology. Discussion about when to add DOIs to older material supplemental materials. For supplemental materials, advice from EZID people that DataCite DOIs rather than CrossRef DOIs to handle supplemental materials metadata scheme for different items. Lisa has resources to send out after the call.

Thinking about monographs. What about DOIs for monographs? If issued ISBNs, isn’t that enough? Monica Westin did some research and supporting material form website recommended adding DOIs to electronic version to the publication. DOIs don’t have meaning but a DOI derived from ISN could have inherent meaning. Assignment DOI at the chapter level to encourage citation to chapter-level content. DOIs could add more value to people publishing at both the larger level and component level. Adding to preprints, working papers, and undergraduate document DOIs could be implemented in the submission system or when appropriate for these publications. ETDs called out by CrossRef for inclusion. Easier to assign DOIs to all publication types rather than piecemeal. Publishers could always have options to opt-in or opt-out to include DOIs. Costs to assigning DOIs prospectively through relationship with EZID and CrossRef. Discussion about legacy materials with CrossRef. CrossRef want backfile processing of earlier collections. Managing cost of assigning the numbers as a project. Cost to assign DOIs to legacy items at $0.15 per item. Lisa will research the cost of a legacy project and timing for doing the addition.

Discussion:

- A feature to include RIS or bibtex export of citation information to eScholarship is coming.
- Opt-in or opt-out to implement at campus level publishing with existing DOIs to allow opt-out. Lisa will explore the time requirement for assigning DOIs. The new submission form might have a checkbox to opt-out.
- DataCite community has been talking about how to cite dynamic databases. Mitchell will send to Lisa Schiff details about a faculty interested in archiving a dynamic database. ARKs could be a possible solution to identify the collection with an identifiable title.
- DOIs for pre-prints. CrossRef may refer the pre-print registration when a publication of record becomes available. Contributions to proceedings volume with DOIs would be useful.
- Lisa talked about the Editoria (http://editoria.pub) monograph production system to be implemented in eScholarship this year. CDL worked with Cocoa to develop a friendly journal production and manual submission process. DOIs could be added within the system. The public API system is under development.
- Lisa will bring back the topic to data curation colleagues to discuss. She encourages people to submit questions about DOIs.

4. Other topics

Lisa Hinchcliffe on Twitter asked, “Does anyone have empirical data on “how long does it take a user to make a deposit in an IR?” I don’t mean relative to publication. I mean the literal process to create a record, submit PDF, add metadata, etc. 10 mins average with range from 5-25 is my guess?” ‘Do libraries monitor “attempted deposits” to their IRs? E.g., people who fill in some data but then don’t attach the file and never complete? To figure out if there are “stuck points” in the process? Offer help? etc.”

**ACTION:** Mitchell has gathered some data on estimates for loading time for publications to repositories, through UC Publication Management System and manual upload to eScholarship. Lisa also has experience with time to upload data to DASH. Mitchell will respond to Lisa Hinchcliffe and Allegra, and share with SPCKG on the wiki.

- Maria had a question about experience working with authors who have publications in predatory journals and recurse for authors. Jennifer will talk with Maria offline.

5. Updates from crossover groups if applicable

a. OSC:
   i. Standing policies update; comments period for OA ETD policy open until 2/28. Endorsement of Statement on Controlled Digital Lending is sitting with COUL, waiting to hear back on some revisions to that statement.
   ii. Still waiting on news for Symplectic funding renewal.
   iii. OA Principles for Publisher Agreements during License Renewals - a draft of policy positions to take into negotiations. Katie can circulate to this group once it’s public. Rich Scheider, UCSF is working on revising, with input from UCOLASC and various experts & stakeholders.
   iv. Workgroup updates - groups that’ll be working on areas of interest to OSC this year, with both internal and external members. Topics include rights reversion, RIMS systems, OERs, and journal flipping/publisher changing.

b. Data: no meeting of the CKG in February

c. STAR Team: Sherrie Barnes term has ended and the group is looking for campus members who are interested in serving on STAR. Contact Matt Willmott or Christy Hightower as co-chairs. STAR is tasked with evaluating potentially transformative resources and initiatives that are candidates for a systemwide investment. The group has conversations on how the products can support UC and broader academia in general. Email to list with details to follow.
6. Round-robin update

a. UCB - Had a few events during Love Data Week. End of January with half-day publishing event with publishers (UC Press, CDL, Elsevier, SpringerNature). Held a digital publishing workshop this week to learn how to get published ebooks with the Press Books platform.

b. UCD - No report.

c. UCI - Visit from CDL to Irvine on Feb.12. Mitchell presented on eScholarship to the Irvine Chancellor Advisory Council (Deans, EVC, VCs) on Feb.13, and was well received. Love Data Week (Feb.13-15) included presentation from Daniella Lowenberg (CDL) and three sessions on Data curation, data management, and Introduction to Open Data and Reproducibility. Meeting with School of Medicine staff tasked with information gathering about a RIMS system replacement for Digital Measures (myData) and the possibility to use Symplectic Elements. Irvine Academic Affairs VC includes the use of Elements data for use in research publications.

d. UCLA - UCLA is staging a version of the Fair Use game show Marty Brennan was involved in at the last ALA Annual. It’ll feature an expert panel including 1) Robert Fink, a musicology professor with experience in music industry-related copyright issues, 2) Katie Fortney, and 3) David Nimmer, author of Nimmer on Copyright. It’ll be on Friday, March 2nd, and we already have 55 RSVPs. (A flyer for the event is attached to this page) Should be fun & informative! Jennifer Chan will be teaching a session on "Copyright for Digital Publishing" on Feb. 28th. Also, holding a roundtable lunch for our journal editors on March 1st, featuring Monica Westin and Katie Fortney.

e. UCM - No report.

f. UCR - No big events but planning the UC DLFx. Expecting a CDL team visit in March.

g. UCSB - The second Anthold-Punctum Publishing Lab is underway, in the Library's Interdisciplinary Research Collaboratory, with a new group of students and manuscripts. Winter quarter focuses on proofreading, copyediting, and source verification. Cover design and typesetting will be the focus for spring quarter. Punctum Books (Sherri & Eileen Joy) were the joint recipients of an OpenAIRE grant, with OA book publishers, Open Humanities Press, Open Book Publishers, Mattering Press, Mayfly Books (all members of the radical OA Collective). The original basis of the grant was to develop a platform for OA monograph distribution and to reduce or eliminate the need for BPCs, through the streamlining of production workflows, and reliance on Amazon, etc. The first of two workshop meetings of all the presses took place in Amsterdam 2/16-17/2018 and was very productive and informative for me, but the take away for the presses was to dedicate more outreach to librarians, and whenever possible collaborate with them, add them to their boards, etc. The punctum-UCSB Library partnership demonstrated the value and importance of having librarians, especially metadata librarians, involved in the development of open access initiatives. In turn, representatives from the group plan to present at LIBER 2018, a huge European research library conference. And, of course, Rupert Gatti (Open Books Publishers) couldn’t say enough about the benefits of attending the Charleston conference.

h. UCSC - No report.

i. UCSD - This month was all about communication. Just started to tweet as @UCSDScholCom, need to integrate the feed into the newish blog. I'm thinking of posting my AAAS notes (just returned from attending a lot of science communication tracks) to the blog. We gave a workshop on communicating your impact https://ucsd.libguides.com/ScholComm/events-workshops that we well received and garnered requests for departmental workshops.

j. UCSF - No big updates. I've been consumed with staffing situations for the last couple months as we're down three positions in the Collection Management unit which I oversee (3/4 of the technical services staff). I'm also trying to justify hiring a new scholarly communication librarian. Our library is doing strategic planning light so it will likely be after that's completed before I can fill any positions. We'll have a drop-in table in the library next Monday for Fair Use Week. Love Data Week - https://twitter.com/i/web/status/963639213332811776

k. CDL - Daniella Lowenberg, Katie Fortney, and Monica Westin will hold a webinar on open data publishing using DASH for data publication Monica can invite members to the webinar on Feb. 22 that will be recorded. Matt Willmott shared that CDL has signed an APC discount with Royal Society Publishing for all campuses for open access – 25% APC for UC authors for full-OA and hybrid Royal Society journals.