Acute right ventricular (RV) failure after cardiac surgery continues to be a significant cause of morbidity and mortality. Acute refractory RV failure occurs in approximately 0.1% of patients following cardiomyotomy, in 2%–3% of patients following heart transplantation, and in 20%–30% of patients requiring left ventricular (LV) assist device (LVAD) insertion. Acute refractory RV failure is also associated with a high in-hospital mortality rate that may reach 70%–75%. In recent years, our understanding of postoperative RV failure has improved, leading to better prevention and management strategies.

In the first part of this review series, the anatomy, physiology, and assessment of the RV were discussed. In the second part, we will discuss the pathophysiology, clinical importance, and management of RV failure in cardiac surgery. We recently reviewed the role of the RV in cardiovascular disease. In this article, we will review RV function from the perspective of the surgeon and anesthesiologist caring for the perioperative patient undergoing cardiac surgery.

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY OF RV FAILURE AFTER CARDIAC SURGERY

Postcardiomyotomy RV failure is often precipitated by an element of ischemia and myocardial depression after cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). Myocardial dysfunction and pulmonary hypertension (PH) after CPB are usually mild and do not lead to postoperative circulatory failure. However, in vulnerable patients, CPB may contribute to postoperative RV failure. In patients requiring LVAD support, unloading of the LV alters RV size and shape and may lead to RV failure. In heart transplant recipients, donor heart ischemia and preexisting pulmonary vascular disease increase the risk of postoperative RV failure. Other factors which may contribute to postoperative RV failure include 1) suboptimal myocardial protection during surgery, 2) long CPB time, 3) RV myocardial ischemia or infarction caused by coronary embolism or coronary bypass graft occlusion, 4) atrial arrhythmias or loss of atrioventricular synchrony, 5) reperfusion lung injury with secondary PH, 6) postoperative pulmonary embolism, 7) preexisting pulmonary vascular disease, 8) protamine-induced PH, or 9) sepsis-associated myocardial depression (Table 1).

When the RV fails, maintenance of hemodynamic stability depends on LV contraction, especially that of the septum, atrial contraction, atrioventricular synchrony, and RV perfusion. Experimental studies have shown that in models where the RV was replaced with a noncontractile patch, the septum was able to maintain circulatory stability as long as the RV was not dilated. Although volume loading may improve RV function, excessive volume loading may contribute to low cardiac output through ventricular interdependence (Fig. 1). RV dilation causes a leftward shift of the ventricular septum, increases pericardial constraint, and modifies LV geometry. As a consequence both LV distensibility and contractility may be decreased resulting in reduced cardiac output.

Acute RV failure may lead to systemic congestion and circulatory failure. Tricuspid regurgitation is usually a prominent feature of acute RV failure and may be the result of RV dilatation and PH. Hypoxemia is
often noted in patients with severe RV failure and may occur as a consequence of increased right to left shunting through a patent foramen ovale or increased ventilation-perfusion mismatches associated with low cardiac output. Alternatively, hypoxemia may be a reflection of the underlying pulmonary disease.

THE IMPORTANCE OF RV FUNCTION IN CARDIAC SURGERY

Over the last three decades, emphasis in cardiology and cardiac surgery has mainly been placed on LV function. Recent data also suggest that RV function may improve risk stratification of patients undergoing surgery for coronary artery disease, valvular heart disease, congenital heart disease (CHD), heart transplantation, or in patients requiring mechanical assist devices and those experiencing postoperative hemodynamic instability (Table 2). In contrast to the evidence that supports LV function in cardiac surgery, most of the evidence that supports the importance of RV function in cardiac surgery is based on retrospective or small prospective studies. Variables of RV function have not yet been included in large-scale risk stratification models. Thus, their inclusion in the Parsonnet score or the Euroscore has not been well established.\textsuperscript{17–20} The absence of RV functional parameters in large-scale models may be explained by the more challenging assessment of the RV. However, novel indices of RV function, such as the myocardial performance index (RVMPI) or the tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion, may allow more routine inclusion in risk stratification models.\textsuperscript{4}

Table 1. Common Causes of Right Ventricular Failure in Cardiac Surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanism of postoperative RV failure</th>
<th>Specific etiologies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preexisting RV dysfunction</td>
<td>Preoperative RV dysfunction associated with pulmonary hypertension or congenital, valvular or coronary disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RV myocardial infarction</td>
<td>Coronary embolism (air, thrombus), thrombotic occlusion, graft dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postsurgical myocardial dysfunction</td>
<td>Suboptimal myocardial protection, long cardiopulmonary bypass time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postoperative pulmonary hypertension</td>
<td>Preexisting pulmonary hypertension, ischemia-reperfusion injury, Pulmonary embolism, Left ventricular failure, Excessive blood transfusions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic obstruction of the RVOT</td>
<td>Volume depletion, high dose of inotropes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive volume loading of the RV</td>
<td>Excessive transfusions or volume infusion, Severe tricuspid regurgitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute unloading of the LV Transplantation</td>
<td>Following LVAD support, Pulmonary hypertension, prolonged ischemic time, acute rejection, obstruction at the pulmonary artery anastomosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pericardial constriction</td>
<td>Postcardiotomy syndrome</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LVAD = left ventricular assist device; RV = right ventricular; RVOT = right ventricular outflow tract.

Perioperative Risk Stratification in Coronary Bypass and Valvular Surgery

In patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy and severe LV systolic dysfunction undergoing nonemergency coronary artery bypass surgery, Maslow et al.\textsuperscript{21} showed that the presence of significant RV dysfunction was associated with an increased risk of postoperative and long-term morbidity and mortality. Significant RV dysfunction was defined by a RV fractional area change (RVFAC) inferior to 35%.\textsuperscript{21} In their retrospective study, patients with RV dysfunction had a higher incidence of postoperative inotropic or mechanical support, required longer intensive care unit and hospital stays, and had reduced short-term and long-term survival rates.\textsuperscript{21}

Retrospective or small prospective studies also support the prognostic value of RV function in patients undergoing valvular heart surgery. In a retrospective study of patients undergoing mitral and mitral-aortic valvular surgery, Pinzani et al.\textsuperscript{22} demonstrated that preoperative RV failure was a strong predictor of perioperative mortality. In this same study, postoperative RV failure was the most important independent predictor of late survival. In a small prospective study
of 14 patients with severe nonischemic mitral regurgitation and reduced LV and RV function, Wencker et al.\textsuperscript{23} found that preoperative RV ejection fraction \(\leq 20\%\) was associated with late postoperative death. In a small prospective study \((n = 50)\), Haddad et al.\textsuperscript{24} have shown that preoperative RV dysfunction, as assessed by RVFAC or RVMPI, was associated with postoperative circulatory failure.

The Importance of RV Function in Patients with PH

PH is a major risk factor for mortality in patients undergoing cardiac surgery.\textsuperscript{17,25} PH is included in both the Parsonnet and Euroscore models, where it significantly contributes to risk stratification.\textsuperscript{17,25} In noncardiac surgery, PH has also been associated with worse outcome.\textsuperscript{26,27}

In both the surgical and medical setting, there is growing evidence that morbidity and mortality associated with PH is dependent on RV adaptation to pulmonary vascular disease rather than on the absolute value of pulmonary arterial pressure.\textsuperscript{24,26–29} In patients undergoing mitral valve surgery, RV dysfunction defined by a RVMPI \(>0.50\) or a RVFAC \(<32\%\) was a better predictor of postoperative circulatory failure than pulmonary arterial pressure.\textsuperscript{24} In patients undergoing pulmonary embolectomy for chronic thromboembolic PH, studies suggest that preoperative RV failure, manifested by increased right atrial pressure, has been recognized as a risk factor for perioperative mortality.\textsuperscript{30,31} Recent studies, however, emphasize that postoperative residual PH is the most important predictor of death.\textsuperscript{30} In patients undergoing lung transplantation for PH, reverse cardiac remodeling and recovery of RV function occurs in most of the patients. Predictors of persistence of RV dysfunction have not been yet established.\textsuperscript{32} In patients with PH undergoing noncardiac surgery, RV dysfunction, as assessed by the RVMPI, was also a better predictor of survival than absolute pulmonary arterial pressure.\textsuperscript{26}

Congenital Heart Disease

The RV plays an important role in CHD, where it may support the pulmonary circulation (pulmonary RV) or the systemic circulation (systemic RV).\textsuperscript{3,4,34} Recent studies have demonstrated that RV function is one of the most important predictors of survival and postoperative outcome in patients with CHD and RV pressure or volume overload.\textsuperscript{34–37}

In patients with isolated atrial septal defect (ASD) and normal pulmonary pressure or mild PH, closure of the defect usually results in progressive remodeling of the RV.\textsuperscript{38} Incomplete RV remodeling in ASD may, however, be seen in older patients \((>40\text{ yr old})\) or in patients with abnormal preoperative RV myocardial relaxation.\textsuperscript{38} Atrial arrhythmias in ASD may also persist or develop in adults undergoing cardiac surgery after the age of 40 yr.\textsuperscript{34,39} In contrast, closure of an ASD in patients with severe pulmonary vascular disease usually precipitates RV failure. In patients with significant systemic to pulmonary shunting \((Q_p/Q_s > 1.5)\) and severe PH, several centers use a preoperative pulmonary vascular resistance \((PVR) <15\text{ Wood units and pulmonary to systemic resistance ratio} \leq 2/3\) as a threshold beyond which surgery would carry unacceptable mortality risk.\textsuperscript{40,41} However, individual centers vary these thresholds according to pulmonary vascular reactivity and specific anatomic lesions.\textsuperscript{41} Closure of the defect is contraindicated in patients with Eisenmenger physiology, unless significant regression of pulmonary vascular disease occurs with pharmacological therapy.

In patients with repaired tetralogy of Fallot (TOF), severe pulmonary regurgitation is the most common cause of progressive RV dilation and failure and is associated with decreased exercise tolerance, atrial and ventricular arrhythmias, and sudden death.\textsuperscript{34} Severe RV dilation, especially when progressive, may be the first sign of a failing RV and should prompt consideration of pulmonary valve replacement. Pulmonary valve replacement generally results in a decrease in RV volume.\textsuperscript{34} Incomplete RV remodeling with persistence of RV dilation is more common in patients with severely dilated RVs \((\text{preoperative RV end-diastolic volume} >170\text{ mL/m}^2)\).\textsuperscript{38,42} Some patients with TOF exhibit a “restrictive RV physiology,” which is defined by the presence of forward and laminar late diastolic pulmonary flow throughout respiration.\textsuperscript{34} Early after TOF repair, restrictive RV physiology is associated with a low cardiac output and longer intensive care stay.\textsuperscript{34,42} Late after TOF repair, however, restrictive RV physiology counteracts the effects of chronic pulmonary regurgitation. It is associated with a smaller RV, shorter QRS duration, and increased exercise tolerance.\textsuperscript{34,43}

Ebstein’s anomaly is characterized by an apical displacement of the septal and inferior tricuspid leaflet exceeding 8 mm/m\(^2\).\textsuperscript{34} This malformation results in atrialization of a portion of the RV and moderate-to-severe tricuspid regurgitation. The size of the functional RV and tricuspid valve morphology (attachment, commissures, surface) determines the best surgical approach. Preoperative assessment of Ebstein’s anomaly is best achieved by combining echocardiography and magnetic resonance imaging.\textsuperscript{3,35}

The RV usually adapts well to pulmonary valve stenosis, even when severe. Long-standing severe pulmonary stenosis, however, may lead to RV dilation, RV failure, and tricuspid regurgitation. Percutaneous valvuloplasty is usually considered as the intervention of choice in patients with moderate-to-severe pulmonary valve stenosis.

In transposition of the great arteries (TGA), the anatomic RV supports the systemic circulation.\textsuperscript{34,44,45} Because the RV is not well suited to support the systemic circulation, RV failure occurs and is closely related to outcome.\textsuperscript{34,44,45} In patients with 1-TGA
(congenitally corrected TGA), moderate-to-severe systemic atroventricular valve (tricuspid valve) regurgitation and RV failure are associated with increased mortality.\textsuperscript{34,45} In patients with d-TGA who have undergone an atrial switch operation, myocardial perfusion defects, uncoordinated myocardial contraction, and systemic atroventricular valve (tricuspid valve) regurgitation may contribute to a progressive decline in RV function.\textsuperscript{34,45} Tricuspid valve replacement may slow the progression of RV failure in patients with l-TGA. Late arterial switch operation is also occasionally considered in selected patients, although its benefits have not yet been clearly demonstrated. As patients with TGA get older, many of them may be considered for heart transplantation or mechanical support.

**Heart Transplantation**

Despite advances in the perioperative management of heart transplantation, acute RV failure still accounts for a significant number of early complications and early deaths in up to 20% of patients in some reports.\textsuperscript{9–11} Many factors contribute to the development of acute RV failure and include 1) preexisting or acquired PH, 2) marginal organ preservation and long ischemic time, 3) mechanical obstruction at the level of the pulmonary artery anastomosis, 4) significant donor-recipient mismatch with a much smaller donor heart (more than 20% mismatch in size), and 5) acute allograft rejection.\textsuperscript{10} Several studies have demonstrated that preoperative PVR ≥6 Wood units and transpulmonary gradient ≥15 mm Hg are associated with increased perioperative mortality, most probably associated with an increased incidence of acute RV failure.

**Left Ventricular Assist Devices**

Acute RV failure after LVAD insertion occurs in approximately 10%–30% of patients and is associated with a high mortality.\textsuperscript{2,46,47} Many factors may contribute to acute RV failure after LVAD insertion. The most important factor appears to be the acute unloading of the left heart, which results in shifting of the ventricular septum, potentially altering RV geometry, and contractility as well as worsening tricuspid regurgitation. The septal shift results in lower work of the septum and lower septal contribution to RV contraction. This is especially important in patients with baseline LV dysfunction. Predicting acute RV failure after LVAD insertion has proven to be challenging, although several reports have greatly increased our understanding of this problem.\textsuperscript{2,46,47} Ochiai et al.\textsuperscript{2} reviewed preoperative data for 245 patients, who underwent LVAD implantation. The most significant predictors for RV assist device (RVAD) use after LVAD insertion were the need for circulatory support before LVAD insertion, female gender, and a nonischemic etiology. The most important hemodynamic variables predictive of RVAD use were low-mean pulmonary arterial pressure and low RV stroke work index.\textsuperscript{2} Low pulmonary artery pressure in this context was most probably a reflection of a failing RV incapable of generating high, or even normal, pulmonary artery pressures. In a smaller study, Kromos et al.\textsuperscript{46} found that preoperative clinical factors, such as fever, pulmonary edema, and intraoperative blood transfusions, were better predictors of RVAD requirements than preimplantation measurement of RV function or hemodynamic variables. A larger study with adequate power to establish a reliable composite predictive risk factor for improving outcome in these patients would be helpful.\textsuperscript{47}

**Unstable Postoperative Patients**

In the hemodynamically unstable postoperative cardiac surgery patient, RV dysfunction is a frequent finding. Costachescu et al.\textsuperscript{49} found that RV systolic dysfunction, defined by an RVFAC ≤25% or severe RV dilation, was present in almost half of the patients with hemodynamic compromise. In patients with hypotension requiring inotropic support after cardiac surgery, Reichert et al.\textsuperscript{50} have demonstrated that RV failure, defined by RVFAC of <35%, was associated with a high mortality rate. In their study, patients with biventricular failure had a mortality rate as high as 86%. This contrasted with a mortality of 30%–40% for patients with predominately LV failure and a mortality of only 15% for those with normal RV and LV function who were hemodynamically unstable.\textsuperscript{50} More recently, dynamic obstruction of the RV outflow tract (RVOT), defined by a RVOT gradient ≥25 mm Hg, was also recognized as a potential cause of difficult weaning from bypass in adults.\textsuperscript{51}

**PREVENTION AND MANAGEMENT OF POSTOPERATIVE RV FAILURE**

Managing acute RV failure after cardiac surgery remains challenging, particularly in certain cardiac surgical settings such as mitral disease, PH, ischemic cardiomyopathy, CHD, heart transplantation, and after the insertion of an LVAD.

**Strategies to Minimize the Risk of Postoperative RV Failure**

Prevention of acute RV failure after cardiac surgery begins with the identification of high-risk patients. This group includes patients with preexisting PH or preexisting RV dysfunction, patients undergoing surgery with long CPB times, patients receiving cardiac allografts with either long ischemic time or mismatched in size or patients receiving a LVAD.\textsuperscript{52} Strategies that may reduce the risk of severe postoperative RV failure include 1) selecting the appropriate timing for surgery, 2) optimizing myocardial protection, 3) selective use of pulmonary vasodilators in the perioperative period, and 4) avoiding liberal transfusion strategies and the use of older blood products.\textsuperscript{53}
Avoiding hypotension, optimizing preload, and careful adjustment of ventilation settings by avoiding hypoxemia and hypercapnia are also important principles in the prevention of postoperative RV failure.

Timing of Surgery
Choosing the appropriate timing for surgery may significantly decrease the risk of postoperative RV failure. In patients with valvular heart disease or CHD, cardiac surgery should be considered before the development of severe RV dysfunction develops. In patients presenting with an acute RV myocardial infarction, it is usually considered reasonable to delay coronary artery bypass graft surgery for 4 wk to allow for the recovery of RV contractile performance. This approach is suggested if early reperfusion either percutaneously or with thrombolytics cannot be achieved.55

Myocardial Protection
Over the last five decades, advances in myocardial protection have significantly improved outcomes in cardiac surgery. Several studies have shown that warm cardioplegia may improve myocardial protection and postoperative outcomes. No study, however, has studied the effects of warm cardioplegia on postoperative RV function using echocardiography.

In heart transplantation, continuous perfusion of the donor hearts may reduce ischemic time and allow better myocardial protection and recovery. Continuing prospective studies (PROCEED trial) will determine whether this new strategy will reduce the incidence of postoperative RV failure and improve graft function.

Surgical Approach
Tailoring the surgical procedure in high-risk patients could potentially reduce the incidence of acute RV failure or postoperative RV remodeling. In patients with coronary artery disease and RV dysfunction, the integrity of the RV blood supply must be considered for revascularization. Some surgeons recommend that revascularization of RV marginal arteries may need to be included in the revascularization plan, not only for long-term perfusion but also for delivery of cardioplegia during a cardiac surgical procedure.

Off-pump surgery could have the theoretical benefit of improving myocardial protection in high-risk candidates although an unexpected increase in pulmonary pressures may occur during cardiac manipulation. In low-risk patients undergoing coronary artery bypass surgery, Pegg et al. have recently shown that early and late postoperative RV function was similar between patients undergoing on-pump or off-pump coronary artery bypass surgery.

In patients with functional tricuspid regurgitation associated with dilation of the tricuspid annulus, there is growing evidence that favors repair. Several studies have demonstrated that annuloplasty of the tricuspid valve based on tricuspid dilation improves functional status independent of the degree of tricuspid regurgitation and may improve RV remodeling. On-pump beating heart tricuspid annuloplasty is sometimes considered to improve postsurgical RV remodeling.

Anesthetic Drugs
Studies comparing different anesthetics or techniques on RV function have not been extensively conducted. In clinical practice, various anesthetic regimens have been used successfully in patients with PH who are at risk for postoperative RV dysfunction.6 In patients with PH, isoflurane is usually recommended for anesthesia, although it may have significant negative inotropic effects when given at clinical concentrations.62,63

The Preventive Use of Pulmonary Vasodilators
The prevention of PH and its consequences is a promising strategy for preventing postoperative RV failure. The primary end point in most studies has been pulmonary hemodynamics with limited information available on RV function.

Some investigators believed that the administration of pulmonary vasodilators before CPB could minimize the effects of the pulmonary reperfusion syndrome. In that regard, inhaled prostacyclin and inhaled milrinone have been shown to decrease pulmonary arterial endothelial dysfunction induced by CPB in pig models. In small clinical studies, inhaled prostacyclin or inhaled milrinone have been associated with a decrease in postoperative PH. Hache et al. conducted a pilot, randomized, controlled trial in patients with preoperative PH and demonstrated that inhaled prostacyclin given before CPB was superior to placebo in reducing PH. Furthermore, in patients who received inhaled prostacyclin, the amount of vasoactive support was reduced. In a study of high-risk patients by Lamarche et al., the administration of inhaled milrinone before CPB with PH (1 = 30) was associated with a lower rate of reintubation of CPB than when the pulmonary vasodilator was administered after weaning from CPB (9 vs 1; P = 0.021). Additionally, postoperative pulmonary artery pressures were lower in the prophylactically treated group. Further prospective and randomized studies will, however, be required to determine the efficacy of this approach. In a recent trial, Fattouch et al. studied patients with PH (1 = 58) undergoing mitral valve replacement for mitral stenosis. Inhaled prostacyclin and inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) were compared with conventional IV vasodilators. The inhaled drugs were given just before the end of CPB. Inhaled medications were associated with a significant reduction in indices of PH as well as with an increase in cardiac output and in RV ejection fraction compared with conventional treatment. In addition, in both inhaled groups, separation from CPB was easier, the amount of vasoactive drugs administered was smaller, and the duration of stay in the intensive care unit and hospital was shorter.
group also compared similar strategies in the treatment of PH after mitral valve replacement upon arrival in the intensive care unit.\textsuperscript{49} Inhalation of prostacyclin was associated with a reduction in PVR and an increase in stroke volume. INO reduced PVR but did not increase stroke volume, and nitroprusside was associated with a reduction in systemic arterial pressure and systemic vascular resistance.

**Prevention of Protamine-Induced PH**

Inhaled pulmonary vasodilators have also been used to prevent protamine-induced PH and RV failure. In a study of patients undergoing coronary revascularization (n = 3800), Ocal et al.\textsuperscript{69} compared two therapeutic approaches in the treatment of the protamine reaction observed in 68 patients (1.8%). One group received inhaled prostacyclin and the other IV nitroglycerin in addition to standard vasoactive drugs. The inhaled prostacyclin group showed improved hemodynamics, and only 14 patients (39%) developing protamine-induced PH had to return to CPB compared with all 30 patients (100%) in the nitroglycerin group. A tendency for shorter length of stay in the intensive care unit and reduced mortality was observed in the inhaled prostacyclin group, but the numbers were too small to be statistically significant. To avoid protamine reactions, heparinase, a heparin degrading enzyme, was compared with placebo in a multicentered, randomized, controlled trial that included 167 patients.\textsuperscript{70} The results of the trial, however, were negative. Heparinase was not associated with any reduction in the intervention to treat PH or any reduction in bleeding.

**Managing Acute RV Failure After Cardiac Surgery**

One of the most important principles in managing postoperative RV failure is to be able to maintain systemic blood pressure while minimizing RV dilation. Maintenance of sinus rhythm and ativoventricular synchrony is also especially important in RV failure, as atrial fibrillation and high-grade atrioventricular block may have profound hemodynamic consequences.\textsuperscript{71} Therefore, placement of atrial epicardial leads should be considered in patients at risk of postoperative RV failure. Other important principles include reducing RV afterload, minimizing blood transfusions that may exacerbate PH, and optimizing ventilator settings.\textsuperscript{52,72} It is also essential to tailor therapy to the specific etiology of postoperative RV failure (Fig. 2).

**Vasopressor and Inotropic Support**

In patients with severe postoperative RV failure, vasopressor or inotropic support may be required to maintain hemodynamic stability and prevent the vicious cycle of hypotension and RV ischemia.\textsuperscript{1,52} Only a few small studies have compared the efficacy of different vasopressor or inotropic drugs in patients with RV failure or PH after cardiac surgery.

In patients with RV failure and hypotension associated with an acute or chronic increase in pulmonary artery pressure, norepinephrine may be useful in maintaining systemic pressure, increasing cardiac index, and reducing pulmonary pressure.\textsuperscript{6,73,74} In an experimental dog model of pulmonary embolism, norepinephrine appeared to be superior to phenylephrine in improving cardiac output.\textsuperscript{75} In another experimental animal model, phenylephrine had a negative inotropic effect on RV function.\textsuperscript{76} In patients with mild-to-moderate RV dysfunction after cardiac surgery, but without severe hypotension, dobutamine or milrinone are both recommended and may increase cardiac output while decreasing pulmonary pressures.\textsuperscript{6,77} In patients with low cardiac output syndrome after cardiac surgery (cardiac output below 2 L·min\textsuperscript{-1}·m\textsuperscript{-2} with pulmonary capillary wedge pressure >10 mm Hg), Feneck et al.\textsuperscript{78} compared milrinone with dobutamine in 120 patients. In a subset of patients with PH (defined as PVR >200 dyn·s·cm\textsuperscript{-5} or mean pulmonary artery pressure >25 mm Hg), milrinone had a similar effect to dobutamine on reducing PVR and increasing in cardiac index. The pulmonary capillary wedge pressure and systemic vascular resistance were reduced more significantly by milrinone. Enoximone, an imidazole phosphodiesterase inhibitor, and the combination of dobutamine and nitroglycerine were evaluated in patients with mitral valve regurgitation and pulmonary venous hypertension.\textsuperscript{79} Both regimens had comparable effects on mean systemic arterial pressure and heart rate, but enoximone was more effective in reducing mean pulmonary artery pressure. In patients with severe RV failure associated with circulatory failure, epinephrine may also be considered if patients fail to respond to norepinephrine with or without the addition of dobutamine.\textsuperscript{80} If a dynamic RVOT obstruction is noted when using large inotropic support, it is usually recommended to reduce the dose, as it may lead to paradoxical decreases in cardiac output.\textsuperscript{51} Levosimendan, a calcium sensitizer, has been used in low-output heart failure,\textsuperscript{81} but its promise, specifically for RV failure, has been explored only in small human studies\textsuperscript{82} and an experimental model.\textsuperscript{83–85}

**Optimization of RV Preload**

In patients with postoperative RV failure and low filling pressures (right atrial pressure <15 mm Hg), preload should be optimized to increase cardiac output. Excessive volume loading should be avoided, however, as it may lead to LV dysfunction through the mechanisms of ventricular interdependence. It may also diminish the contribution of septal contraction to RV function.\textsuperscript{1,6}

**Inhaled Pulmonary Vasodilators**

Inhaled pulmonary vasodilators may be helpful in the treatment of postoperative PH or RV failure. The most commonly used inhaled pulmonary vasodilators
include iNO, inhaled prostacyclin, iloprost, and inhaled milrinone.\textsuperscript{86–89} Inhaled sildenafil has been also recently studied in experimental models of lung reperfusion injury.\textsuperscript{90} Compared with systemic drugs, inhaled drugs have the advantage of improving pulmonary hemodynamics without increasing ventilation-perfusion mismatches or causing systemic hypotension.

Evidence from retrospective studies and small randomized trials suggest that iNO may decrease the incidence of refractory postoperative RV failure after heart transplantation or CHD surgery.\textsuperscript{87,91–94} In patients requiring LVAD support, Argenziano et al.\textsuperscript{95} demonstrated in a randomized crossover trial of 11 patients with severe RV failure that iNO led to significant improvement in hemodynamics. RVAD insertion was required in one patient and was partially attributable to abrupt discontinuation of iNO.\textsuperscript{95} Retrospective studies also suggest that the use of iNO decreases the need for RVAD insertion after LVAD insertion.\textsuperscript{87,96} Comparative studies on different inhaled drugs suggest that iNO and inhaled prostacyclin have equivalent efficacy.\textsuperscript{87} Inhaled prostacyclin may have the advantage of lower cost and does not cause methemoglobinemia.\textsuperscript{87}

**Ventilation**

Optimal ventilation settings in patients with severe RV failure may increase RV preload and decrease RV afterload. In general, hyperinflation of the lungs should be avoided, as it may significantly increase
PVR. Application of high levels of positive end-expiratory pressure will also narrow the capillaries in the well-ventilated areas and divert flow to less well ventilated or nonventilated areas. Therefore, an increase in ventilation-perfusion mismatch resulting in a decrease in arterial oxygen content can be expected. Hypoxemia, hypercapnia, or acidosis should also be avoided, as they may exacerbate PH.

**Surgical Management**

In cases where RV failure results from a compression of the pulmonary artery, a stricture at the pulmonary anastomotic site (heart transplantation) or mechanical complication of coronary bypass graft, surgery may correct the underlying cause of failure. Most cases of refractory RV failure will, however, require short-term mechanical support. In the early 1980s, pulmonary artery balloon pumps were used to support the failing RV. Clinical studies have shown that these pumps reduce pulmonary pressures and offload the RV. Because pulmonary artery balloon pumps are less reliable than RVAD, and can only provide short-term support, their use was abandoned in favor of the RVAD. The Thoratec system is the most commonly used RVAD in clinical practice and offers the advantage of longer-term support. Although RVAD reestablishes hemodynamic stability in most cases, the hospital discharge rate following a successful weaning may be as low as 25%–30%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>No. of patients with RVAD use</th>
<th>Survivors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pae et al.</td>
<td>Postcardiomy</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>30/121 (25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>Postcardiomy</td>
<td>18/151</td>
<td>6/18 (30%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGovern et al.</td>
<td>Postcardiomy</td>
<td>6/15,000</td>
<td>2/6 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pennington et al.</td>
<td>Postcardiomy</td>
<td>7/4695</td>
<td>0/7 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mundth et al.</td>
<td>Postcardiomy</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6/11 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochiai et al.</td>
<td>Post LVAD insertion</td>
<td>23/245 (9%)</td>
<td>4/23 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barnard et al.</td>
<td>Heart transplant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2/6 (33%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jacquet et al.</td>
<td>Heart transplant and LVAD</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6/11 (54%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Duration of support varied from 2 h to 8 d. Advised caution in patients of age above 70 yr. LVAD = left ventricular assist device; RVAD = right ventricular assist device. Adapted from Kaul TK, Fields BL. Postoperative acute refractory right ventricular failure: incidence, pathogenesis, management and prognosis. Cardiovasc Surg 2000:8:1–9.
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